If You Bought A Cathode Ray Tube Product,

A Class Action Settlement May Affect You.

Cathode Ray Tube (CRT) Products include Cathode Ray Tubes and finished products that contain a Cathode Ray Tube such as Televisions and Computer Monitors.

A Federal Court authorized this Notice. This is not a solicitation from a lawyer.

- A class action lawsuit that includes direct purchasers of CRT Products is currently pending. The Court certified a class of direct purchasers of CRT Products by order dated July 8, 2015. If you are a direct purchaser of CRT Products and you did not exclude yourself from the Class following the Notice of Direct Purchaser Class Certification ("Class Notice") mailed on November 23, 2015, you are a member of the Class and your rights will be affected.
- Plaintiffs claim that Defendants and Co-Conspirators (listed below) engaged in an unlawful conspiracy to fix, raise, maintain or stabilize the prices of Cathode Ray Tubes. Plaintiffs further claim that direct purchasers of televisions and monitors that contain a cathode ray tube from the Defendants may recover for the effect that the cathode ray tube conspiracy had on the prices of televisions and monitors. Plaintiffs allege that, as a result of the unlawful conspiracy involving cathode ray tubes, they and other direct purchasers paid more for CRT Products than they would have paid absent the conspiracy. Defendants deny Plaintiffs' claims.
- A settlement has been reached with Mitsubishi Electric Corporation; Mitsubishi Electric US, Inc. (formerly known as Mitsubishi Electric & Electronics USA, Inc.); and Mitsubishi Electric Visual Solutions America, Inc. (formerly known as Mitsubishi Digital Electronics America, Inc.). The companies are together referred to as "Mitsubishi Electric Defendants."
- Your legal rights will be affected whether you act or don't act. This Notice includes information on the Settlement and the continuing lawsuit. Please read the entire Notice carefully.

These Rights and Options – and deadlines to exercise them –

are explained in this notice.

You can object to or comment on the Settlement
You may go to a hearing and comment on the Settlement
You may make a new or supplemental claim

see Question 10
See Question 13
See Question 9

The Court in charge of this case still has to decide whether to approve the Settlement.

WHAT THIS NOTICE CONTAINS

	William Holles Collins	
Basic Infor	mation	Page 2
	Why did I get this notice?	J
2.	Who are the Defendant and Co-Conspirator companies?	
3.	What is this lawsuit about?	
4.	Were there other settlements in this litigation?	
5.	What is a Cathode Ray Tube Product?	
6.	What is a class action?	
The Class		Page 3
	How do I know if I'm part of the Class?	8
	What does the Settlement provide?	
9.	When can I get a payment?	
10.	May I object to or comment on the Settlement?	
The Settlen	nent Approval Hearing	Page 4
	When and where will the Court decide whether to approve the Settlement?	J
12.	Do I have to come to the hearing?	
13.	May I speak at the hearing?	
The Lawyers Representing You		Page 4
-	Do I have a lawyer in the case?	J
15.	How will the lawyers be paid?	
Getting Mo	re Information	Page 4
	How do I get more information?	3

BASIC INFORMATION

1. Why did I get this notice?

You or your company may have directly purchased Cathode Ray Tubes (CRTs) or certain products containing those tubes between March 1, 1995 and November 25, 2007. A direct purchaser is a person or business who bought a CRT, or a television or computer monitor containing a CRT directly from one or more of the Defendants, co-conspirators, affiliates, or subsidiaries themselves, as opposed to an intermediary (such as a retail store).

You have the right to know about the litigation and about your legal rights and options before the Court decides whether to approve the Settlement.

The notice explains the litigation, the settlement, and your legal rights.

The Court in charge of the case is the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, and the case is called *In re Cathode Ray Tube (CRT) Antitrust Litigation*, MDL No. 1917. The people who sued are called Plaintiffs and the companies they sued are called Defendants.

2. Who are the Defendant and Co-Conspirator companies?

The Defendant and Co-Conspirator companies include: Thomson SA (now known as Technicolor SA); Thomson Consumer Electronics, Inc. (now known as Technicolor USA, Inc.); Technologies Displays Americas LLC (formerly known as Thomson Displays Americas LLC); Videocon Industries, Ltd.; Mitsubishi Electric Corporation; Mitsubishi Electric US, Inc. (formerly known as Mitsubishi Electric & Electronics USA, Inc.); Mitsubishi Electric Visual Solutions America, Inc. (formerly known as Mitsubishi Digital Electronics America, Inc.); LG Electronics, Inc., LG Electronics U.S.A., Inc., LG Electronics Taiwan Taipei Co., Ltd., Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V., Philips Electronics North America Corporation, Philips Electronics Industries (Taiwan), Ltd., Philips da Amazonia Industria Electronica Ltda., LP Displays International, Ltd. f/k/a LG.Philips Displays, Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, Inc., Samsung SDI Co. Ltd., Samsung SDI America, Inc., Samsung SDI Mexico S.A. de C.V., Samsung SDI Brasil Ltda., Shenzhen Samsung SDI Co. Ltd., Tianjin Samsung SDI Co. Ltd., Samsung SDI Malaysia Sdn. Bhd., Toshiba Corporation, Toshiba America Consumer Products, L.L.C., Toshiba America Information Systems, Inc., Toshiba America Electronic Components, Inc., Panasonic Corporation f/k/a Matsushita Electric Industrial, Ltd., Panasonic Corporation of North America, MT Picture Display Co., Ltd., Beijing-Matsushita Color CRT Company, Ltd. (BMCC), Hitachi, Ltd., Hitachi Displays, Ltd. (n/k/a Japan Display Inc.), Hitachi Electronic Devices (USA), Inc., Hitachi America, Ltd., Hitachi Asia, Ltd., Tatung Company of America, Inc., Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd., Chunghwa Picture Tubes (Malaysia) Sdn. Bhd., IRICO Group Corporation, IRICO Display Devices Co., Ltd., IRICO Group Electronics Co., Ltd., Thai CRT Company, Ltd., Daewoo Electronics Corporation f/k/a Daewoo Electronics Company, Ltd., Daewoo International Corporation, Irico Group Corporation, Irico Group Electronics Co., Ltd., and Irico Display Devices Co., Ltd.

3. What is this lawsuit about?

The lawsuit alleges that Defendants and Co-Conspirators conspired to raise and fix the prices of CRTs and the CRTs contained in certain finished products for over ten years, resulting in overcharges to direct purchasers of those CRTs and certain finished products containing CRTs. The complaint describes how the Defendants and Co-Conspirators allegedly violated the U.S. antitrust laws by establishing a global cartel that set artificially high prices for, and restricted the supply of CRTs and the televisions and monitors that contained them. Defendants deny Plaintiffs' allegations. The Court has not decided who is right.

4. Were there other settlements in this litigation?

Yes. This notice concerns a settlement with the Mitsubishi Electric Defendants. Plaintiffs have also reached previous settlements with eight other groups of defendants: 1) Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd., Chunghwa Picture Tubes (Malaysia) Sdn. Bhd.; 2) Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V.; Philips Electronics North America Corporation, Philips Electronics Industries (Taiwan), Ltd.; Philips da Amazonia Industria Electronica Ltda.; 3) Panasonic Corporation (f/k/a Matsushita Electric Industrial, Ltd.); Panasonic Corporation of North America; MT Picture Display Co., Ltd. (this settlement also releases Beijing-Matsushita Color CRT Company, Ltd.); 4) LG Electronics, Inc.; LG Electronics U.S.A., Inc.; LG Electronics Taiwan Taipei Co., Ltd. (this settlement also releases LP Displays International, Ltd. f/k/a LG.Philips Displays.); 5) Toshiba Corporation; Toshiba America Information Systems, Inc.; Toshiba America Consumer Products, L.L.C.; Toshiba America Electronic Components, Inc.; 6) Hitachi, Ltd.; Hitachi Displays, Ltd. (n/k/a Japan Displays Inc.); Hitachi America, Ltd.; Hitachi Asia, Ltd.; Hitachi Electronic Devices (USA) Inc.; 7) Samsung SDI Co. Ltd. (f/k/a Samsung Display Devices Co., Ltd.); Samsung SDI America, Inc.; Samsung SDI Brasil, Ltd.; Tianjin Samsung SDI Co., Ltd.; Samsung Shenzhen SDI Co., Ltd.; SDI Malaysia Sdn. Bhd.; SDI Mexico S.A. de C.V.; 8) Thomson SA (now known as Technicolor SA); Thomson Consumer Electronics, Inc. (now known as Technicolor USA, Inc.); and Technologies Displays Americas LLC (formerly known as Thomson Displays Americas LLC). The eight previous settlements have been finally approved by the Court.

5. What is a Cathode Ray Tube Product?

For the purposes of the Settlement, Cathode Ray Tube Products means Cathode Ray Tubes of any type (e.g. color display tubes and color picture tubes) and finished products which contain Cathode Ray Tubes, such as Televisions and Computer Monitors.

6. What is a class action?

In a class action, one or more people, called class representatives, sue on behalf of people who have similar claims. All these people are members of the class, except for those who have previously excluded themselves from the class.

Important information about the case is posted on the website, **www.CRTDirectPurchaserAntitrustSettlement.com** as it becomes available. Please check the website to be kept informed about any future developments.

THE CLASS

7. How do I know if I'm part of the Class?

The Class includes:

All persons and entities who, between March 1, 1995 and November 25, 2007, directly purchased a CRT Product in the United States from any defendant or subsidiary or affiliate thereof, or any co-conspirator ("Class").

If you excluded yourself from the Class by filing a request for exclusion with the Court following the Class Notice sent to you by U.S. Mail or e-mail on November 23, 2015 and published in the *Wall Street Journal* or the *New York Times* on November 24, 2015, you are not a Class member and this Notice does not affect you.

8. What does the Settlement provide?

The Settlement with the Mitsubishi Electric Defendants provides for a payment in the amount of \$75,000,000 in cash to the Class (the "Mitsubishi Electric Settlement Fund").

More details are in the Settlement Agreement, available at www.CRTDirectPurchaserAntitrustSettlement.com.

9. When can I get a payment?

Distribution of the Mitsubishi Electric Settlement Fund will be made, along with a previous settlement of \$9,750,000 with the Thomson and TDA defendants ("Thomson/TDA Settlement Fund"), on a *pro rata* basis once the Court finally approves the settlement and authorizes distribution of the Mitsubishi Electric Settlement Fund.

Class members have already submitted claim forms for distribution of the *pro rata* shares of the previous settlements (except the Thomson/TDA settlement). If you submitted a claim form, it will be considered as part of the *pro rata* distribution of the Mitsubishi Electric and Thomson/TDA Settlement Funds. You need not submit an additional claim form. If you wish to supplement or amend your claim form, for example to add purchases from the Mitsubishi Electric Defendants, Thomson/TDA defendants, or others, you may do so. You may also submit a new claim. Directions for filing a new or supplemental claim, either online or using a downloadable claim form, can be found on the class website **www.CRTDirectPurchaserAntitrustSettlement.com**.

Any new or supplemental claims must be submitted online or postmarked by May 29, 2017.

In the future, each Class member's *pro rata* share of the Mitsubishi Electric and Thomson/TDA Settlement Funds will be determined by computing each valid claimant's total CRT Product purchases divided by the total valid CRT Product purchases claimed. This percentage is multiplied by the net Settlement Fund (total of the Mitsubishi Electric and Thomson/TDA Settlement Funds minus all costs, attorneys' fees, and expenses) to determine each claimant's *pro rata* share. To determine your CRT Product purchases, CRT tubes (CPTs and CDTs) are calculated at full value while CRT televisions are valued at 50% and CRT computer monitors are valued at 75%.

In summary, all valid claimants will share in the Mitsubishi Electric Settlement Fund on a *pro rata* basis determined by the CRT value of the product you purchased—tubes 100%, monitors 75% and televisions 50%.

10. May I object to or comment on the Settlement?

Yes. If you have comments about, or disagree with, any aspect of the Settlement, you may express your views to the Court by writing to the address below. The written response needs to include your name, address, telephone number, the case name and number (*In re Cathode Ray Tube (CRT) Antitrust Litigation*, MDL No. 1917), a brief explanation of your reasons for objection, and your signature. The response must be filed with the Court or postmarked no later than **April 20, 2017** and mailed to:

Honorable Jon S. Tigar
United States District Court, Northern District of California
San Francisco Division
450 Golden Gate Avenue
Courtroom 9, 19th floor
San Francisco, CA 94102

You can ask the Court to deny approval by filing an objection. You can't ask the Court to order a larger settlement; the Court can only approve or deny the settlement. If the Court denies approval, no settlement payments will be sent out and the lawsuit will continue. If that is what you want to happen, you must object.

THE SETTLEMENT APPROVAL HEARING

11. When and where will the Court decide whether to approve the Settlement?

The Court will hold a Final Approval Hearing at **2:00 p.m.** on **June 8, 2017**, at the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, San Francisco Division, in Courtroom 9 on the 19th Floor, at 450 Golden Gate Avenue. The hearing may be moved to a different date or time without additional notice, so it is a good idea to check the class website for information because additional notice will not be sent. At this hearing, the Court will consider whether the Settlement is fair, reasonable and adequate. If there are objections or comments, the Court will consider them at that time. After the hearing, the Court will decide whether to approve the Settlement. We do not know how long these decisions will take.

12. Do I have to come to the hearing?

No. Lead Counsel will answer any questions the Court may have, but you are welcome to come at your own expense. If you send an objection or comment, you don't have to come to Court to talk about it. As long as you mailed your written objection or comment on time, the Court will consider it. You may also pay another lawyer to attend, but it's not required.

13. May I speak at the hearing?

If you want your own lawyer instead of Lead Counsel to speak at the Final Approval Hearing, you must give the Court a paper that is called a "Notice of Appearance." The Notice of Appearance should include the name and number of the lawsuit (*In re Cathode Ray Tube (CRT) Antitrust Litigation*, MDL No. 1917), and state that you wish to enter an appearance at the Final Approval Hearing. It also must include your name, address, telephone number, and signature. Your Notice of Appearance must be postmarked no later than **April 20, 2017**.

The Notice of Appearance must be sent to the address listed in Question 10.

THE LAWYERS REPRESENTING YOU

14. Do I have a lawyer in the case?

Yes. The Court has appointed the law firm of Saveri & Saveri, Inc. to represent you as "Lead Counsel." You do not have to pay Lead Counsel. If you want to be represented by your own lawyers, and have that lawyer appear in court for you in this case, you may hire one at your own expense.

15. How will the lawyers be paid?

Lead Counsel will also submit an Application for Attorneys' Fees and Expenses and Incentive Awards to be heard at the Final Approval Hearing on June 8, 2017. Lead Counsel will ask the Court for attorneys' fees not to exceed one-third (33.3%) of the Mitsubishi Electric and Thomson/TDA Settlement Funds plus reimbursement of their costs and expenses, in accordance with the provisions of the Mitsubishi Electric and Thomson/TDA settlement agreements. Lead Counsel may also request that an amount be paid to each of the class representatives who helped the lawyers on behalf of the whole Class.

Lead Counsel will file their Application for Attorneys' Fees and Expenses and Incentive Awards on or before March 30, 2017. On the same day, Lead Counsel will post their Application for Attorneys' Fees and Expenses and Incentive Awards on the Settlement website **www.CRTDirectPurchaserAntitrustSettlement.com**. You may comment on or object to Lead Counsel's Application for Attorneys' Fees and Expenses and Incentive Awards by following the procedure set forth in paragraph 10 above. Any comment or objection must be filed with the Court or postmarked by April 20, 2017.

GETTING MORE INFORMATION

16. How do I get more information?

This Notice summarizes the lawsuit and the Settlement. You can get more information about the lawsuit and Settlement at **www.CRTDirectPurchaserAntitrustSettlement.com**, by calling 1-877-224-3063, or writing to CRT Direct Settlement, P.O. Box 43455, Providence, RI 02940-3455. Please do not contact the Court about this case.

Dated: February 27, 2017 BY ORDER OF THE COURT