## Case3:07-cv-05944-SC Document1179 Filed05/03/12 Page1 of 17 Case3:07-cv-05944-SC Document1152-1 Filed04/13/12 Page1 of 17

1 2 3 4 5 6	Guido Saveri (22349) guido@saveri.com R. Alexander Saveri (173102) rick@saveri.com Geoff Rushing (126910) grushing@saveri.com Cadio Zirpoli (179108) cadio@saveri.com SAVERI & SAVERI, INC. 706 Sansome Street San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 217-6810 Facsimile: (415) 217-6813  Interim Lead Counsel for the Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs				
7	19				
8	UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT			
9	NORTHERN DIS	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10	SAN FRAN	CISCO DIVISION			
11	1,9				
12 13	IN RE: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT) ANTITRUST LITIGATION	Master File No. CV- 07-5944-SC			
14	This Document Relates to:	MDL No. 1917			
15 16	ALL DIRECT PURCHASER ACTIONS	FROPOSEDI ORDER GRANTING SETTLEMENT CLASS CERTIFICATION AND PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENTS WITH CPT AND PHILIPS			
17		00			
18	6	54			
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25		W			
26					
27		#1			
28	e.V	5			

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING SETTLEMENT CLASS CERTIFICATION AND PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENTS WITH CPT AND PHILIPS – CV-07-5944-SC

On March 26, 2012, Plaintiff filed a Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlements with Defendants (1) Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd. and Chunghwa Picture Tubes (Malaysia) Sdn. Bhd. (collectively "CPT"), and (2) Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V., Philips Electronics North America Corporation, Philips Electronics Industries (Taiwan), Ltd., and Philips Da Amazonia Industria Electronica Ltda. (collectively, "Philips"). The Court, having reviewed the motion, each of the two settlement agreements, the pleadings and other papers on file in this action, and the statements of counsel and the parties, hereby finds that the motion should be GRANTED.

#### NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 1. For purposes of this Order, except as otherwise set forth herein, the Court adopts and incorporates the definitions contained in each of the two settlement agreements, to the extent not contradictory or mutually exclusive.
- 2. The Court hereby gives its preliminary approval to each of the two settlement agreements, subject to a hearing on the final approval of each settlement agreement (the "Fairness Hearing").
- 3. The Court finds that each settlement falls within the range of possible final approval and that there is a sufficient basis for notifying the settlement classes identified in the two settlements of the proposed settlements and for setting a Fairness Hearing.
- 4. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, the Court provisionally certifies the following settlement classes for purposes of this Motion only:

All persons and entities who, between March 1, 1995 and November 25, 2007, directly purchased a CRT Product in the United States from any defendant or subsidiary or affiliate thereof, or any co-conspirator. Excluded from the Class are defendants, their parent companies, subsidiaries and affiliates, any co-conspirator, all governmental entities, and any judges or justices assigned to hear any aspect of this action.

5. CRT Products refers to all forms of Cathode Ray Tubes. It includes color picture tubes, color display tubes, and the finished products that contain them — televisions and monitors. The settlement class definition as set forth above and as used in this order is for settlement purposes only. It has no binding effect on the Court, on the indirect-purchaser plaintiffs, or on the Non-Settling Defendants for any other purpose,

4

3

5 6

7 8

9 10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

including but not limited to the filing or resolution of any upcoming motion(s) for class certification pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 23.

- 6. The Court further provisionally finds that the prerequisites to certifying a settlement class under Rule 23 are satisfied for settlement purposes in that: (a) there are hundreds of geographically dispersed settlement class members, making joinder of all members impracticable; (b) there are questions of law and fact common to the settlement class which predominate over individual issues; (c) the claims or defenses of the settlement class plaintiffs are typical of the claims or defenses of the settlement class; (d) the plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the settlement class, and have retained counsel experienced in antitrust class action litigation who have, and will continue to, adequately represent the settlement class; and (e) a settlement class resolution is superior to individual settlements.
- 7. The Court hereby appoints the Plaintiffs named in the Consolidated Amended Complaint, filed March 16, 2009, as Representative Plaintiffs of the settlement class.
- 8. The court appoints the law firm of Saveri & Saveri, Inc. to serve as Class Counsel for the settlement class.
- 9. The Court approves the form of the long form notice attached hereto as Exhibit A ("Long Form Notice"). The Court also approves the form of the summary notice attached hereto as Exhibit B ("Summary Notice"). The Court finds that taken together, mailing of the Long Form Notice (U.S Mail or electronic mail), publication of the Summary Notice, and internet posting of the Long Form Notice are: (i) the best notice practicable; (ii) reasonably calculated to, under the circumstances, apprise the settlement class members of the proposed settlements and of their right to object or to exclude themselves as provided in the settlement agreements; (iii) reasonable and constitute due, adequate, and sufficient notice to all persons entitled to receive notice; and (iv) meet all applicable requirements of due process and any other applicable requirements under federal law.
- 10. The defendants shall produce in fourteen (14) days from the entry of this Order an electronic list of potential settlement class members along with their mail and e-mail addresses, to the extent reasonably feasible and subject to the availability of responsive information.

21.

- 11. Plaintiffs' claims administrator shall provide notice of the class settlements. The claims administrator shall provide direct notice of the settlements to all members of the settlement class on or before a date set 35 days from the entry of this Order. Such notice shall be sent either by first class U.S. mail postage prepaid or by electronic mail. The claims administrator shall publish the Summary Notice in the national edition of the *Wall Street Journal* on or before a date set 39 days from the entry of this Order. The Claims Administrator shall also cause a copy of the class notices and Settlement Agreements to be posted on the internet website <a href="https://www.crtdirectpurchaserantitrustsettlement.com">www.crtdirectpurchaserantitrustsettlement.com</a>.
- 12. Each settlement class member shall have the right to be excluded from the settlement class by mailing a request for exclusion to the Claims Administrator no later than a date set at least forty-five (45) days after mailing of the direct notice. Requests for exclusion must be in writing and set forth the name and address of the person or entity who wishes to be excluded, as well as all trade names or business names and addresses used by such person or entity, and must be signed by the class member seeking exclusion. No later than fourteen (14) days after the date set for exclusions, Class Counsel shall file with the Court a list of all persons or entities who have timely requested exclusion from the settlement classes as provided in the settlement agreements.
- 13. Any settlement class member who does not properly and timely request exclusion from the settlement class as provided above shall, upon final approval of the settlements, be bound by the terms and provisions of the settlements so approved, including but not limited to the releases, waivers, and covenants described in the agreements, whether or not such person or entity objected to the settlement agreements and whether or not such person or entity makes a claim upon the settlement funds.
- 14. Each settlement class member who has not timely excluded itself from the settlements shall have the right to object to (1) the settlements, and/or (2) the plan of allocation by filing written objections with the Court no later than a date set at least forty-five (45) days after mailing direct notice, copies of which shall be served on all counsel listed in the class notice. Failure to timely file and serve written objections will preclude a class member from objecting to any or all of the settlements.

- 15. Each settlement class member as provided above shall have the right to appear at the Fairness Hearing by filing a Notice of Intention to Appear no later than a date set forty-five (45) days after mailing direct notice, copies of which shall be served on all counsel listed in the class notice.
- 16. The Court will conduct a Fairness Hearing on a date set on or about 131 days from entry of this Order at 10:00 a.m. The Fairness Hearing will be conducted to determine the following:
  - a. Whether each proposed settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate and should be granted final approval;
  - b. Whether final judgment should be entered dismissing the claims of the settlement class against defendants (1) CPT; and (2) Philips;
  - c. Approval of the plan of allocation;
  - e. Such other matters as the Court may deem appropriate.
- 17. Each member of the settlement class shall retain all rights and causes of action with respect to claims against all Defendants other than CPT and Philips, regardless of whether such member of the settlement class decides to remain in the Settlement Class or to exclude itself from the settlement class.
- 18. All briefs, memoranda and papers in support of final approval of the settlements shall be filed no later than twenty-one (21) days before the Fairness Hearing.
- 19. Plaintiffs' Counsel and their designees are authorized to expend funds from the escrow accounts to pay taxes, tax expenses, notice, and administration costs as set forth in each of the Settlement Agreements.
- 20. All further direct purchaser class proceedings as to Defendants (1) CPT; and (2) Philips are hereby stayed except for any actions required to effectuate the settlements.

## 

1	2	1.	The court re	tains exclu	ısive juris	diction ove	r this actio	n to consider al	ll further
2	matters arising out of or connected with the settlements.								
3	ľ	T IS S	O ORDERE	ED.					
4									
5	Dated:	Api	e 13, 2017	<u> </u>		Hon.	Charles A.	Q - Jagge Legge (Ret.)	
7						Specia	al Master		
8	R	EVIE	WED AND	[APPROV	ED OR 1	MODIFIED	]		
9								•	
10		Mav	3, 2012				Im	way you	<b>1</b> 0
11	Dated:	ray				Hon.	Samuel Co	nti	<del>~</del>
12			28			United	d States Di	strict Judge	
13									
14									
15									
16									
17									
18									
19									
20									
21									
22									95
23			3						
24									
25								.4	
26.									
27									

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING SETTLEMENT CLASS CERTIFICATION AND PRELIMINARY APPROVADOF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENTS WITH CPT AND PHILIPS – CV-07-5944-SC

28

# **EXHIBIT A**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

### If You Bought A Cathode Ray Tube Product,

#### A Class Action Settlement May Affect You.

Cathode Ray Tube (CRT) Products include Cathode Ray Tubes and finished products that contain a Cathode Ray Tube such as Televisions and Computer Monitors

A Federal Court authorized this Notice. This is not a solicitation from a lawyer.

- A class action lawsuit brought on behalf of direct purchasers of CRT Products is currently pending.
- Plaintiffs claim that Defendants (listed below) and co-conspirators engaged in an unlawful conspiracy to fix, raise, maintain or stabilize the prices of cathode ray tubes. Plaintiffs further claim that direct purchasers from the Defendants of televisions and monitors containing cathode ray tubes may recover for the effect that the cathode ray tube conspiracy had on the prices of televisions and monitors. Plaintiffs allege that, as a result of the unlawful conspiracy involving cathode ray tubes, they and other direct purchasers paid more for CRT Products than they would have paid absent the conspiracy. Defendants deny Plaintiffs' claims.
- Settlements have been reached with (1) Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd. and Chunghwa Picture Tubes (Malaysia) Sdn. Bhd. (collectively "CPT")., and (2) Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V., Philips Electronics North America Corporation, Philips Electronics Industries (Taiwan), Ltd., and Philips Da Amazonia Industria Electronica Ltda. (collectively, "Philips"). The companies are together referred to as the "Settling Defendants."
- Your legal rights will be affected whether you act or don't act. This Notice includes information on the Settlements and the continuing lawsuit. Please read the entire Notice carefully.

# These Rights and Options – and deadlines to exercise them – are explained in this notice

You can object or comment on the Settlements	see Question 10
You may also exclude yourself from the Settlements	see Question 10
You may go to a hearing and comment on the Settlements	see Question 14

• The Court in charge of this case still has to decide whether to approve each of the Settlements. The case against the Non-Settling Defendants (identified below) continues.

#### WHAT THIS NOTICE CONTAINS

Basic Information Pag	ge 3
1. Why did I get this notice?	
2. Who are the Defendant companies?	
3. What is this lawsuit about?	
4. Why are there Settlements but the litigation is continuing?	
5. What is a Cathode Ray Tube Product?	
6. What is a class action?	
The Settlement Class	șe 4
7. How do I know if I'm part of the Settlement Class?	
8. What does the Settlement provide?	
9. When can I get a payment?	
10. What are my rights in the Settlement Class?	
11. What am I giving up to stay in the Settlement Class?	
The Settlement Approval Hearing	șe 7
12. When and where will the Court decide whether to approve the Settlement?	
13. Do I have to come to the hearing?	
14. May I speak at the hearing?	
The Lawyers Representing You Pag	e 8
15. Do I have a lawyer in the case?	
16. How will the lawyers be paid?	
Getting More Information	e 8;
17. How do I get more information?	

#### **BASIC INFORMATION**

#### 1. Why did I get this notice?

You or your company may have directly purchased Cathode Ray Tubes (CRTs) or certain products containing those tubes between March I, 1995 and November 25, 2007. For purposes of these Settlements, a direct purchaser is a person or business who bought a CRT, or a television or computer monitor containing a CRT directly from one or more of the Defendants, co-conspirators, affiliates, or subsidiaries themselves, as opposed to an intermediary (such as a retail store).

You have the right to know about the litigation and about your legal rights and options before the Court decides whether to approve the Settlements.

The notice explains the litigation, the two settlements, and your legal rights.

The Court in charge of the case is the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, and the case is called *In re Cathode Ray Tube (CRT)*) Antitrust Litigation, MDL No. 1917. The people who sued are called Plaintiffs and the companies they sued are called Defendants.

#### 2. Who are the Defendant companies?

The Defendant companies include: LG Electronics, Inc., LG Electronics U.S.A., Inc., LG Electronics Taiwan Taipei Co., Ltd, Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V., Philips Electronics North America Corporation, Philips Electronics Industries (Taiwan), Ltd., Philips da Amazonia Industria Electronica Ltda., LP Displays International, Ltd. f/k/a LG.Philips Displays, Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, Inc., Samsung SDI Co. Ltd., Samsung SDI America, Inc., Samsung SDI Mexico S.A. de C.V., Samsung SDI Brasil Ltda., Shenzhen Samsung SDI Co. Ltd., Tianjin Samsung SDI Co. Ltd., Samsung SDI Malaysia Sdn. Bhd., Toshiba Corporation, Toshiba America, Inc., Toshiba America Consumer Products, LLC., Toshiba America Information Systems, Inc., Toshiba America Electronics Components, Inc., Panasonic Corporation f/k/a Matsushita Electric Industrial, Ltd., Panasonic Corporation of North America, MT Picture Display Co., Ltd., Beijing-Matsushita Color CRT Company, Ltd. (BMCC), Hitachi, Ltd., Hitachi Displays, Ltd., Hitachi Electronic Devices (USA), Inc., Hitachi America, Ltd., Hitachi Asia, Ltd., Tatung Company of America, Inc., Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd., Chunghwa Picture Tubes (Malaysia) Sdn. Bhd., IRICO Group Corporation, IRICO Display Devices Co., Ltd., IRICO Group Electronics Co., Ltd., Thai CRT Company, Ltd., Daewoo Electronics Corporation f/k/a Daewoo Electronics Company, Ltd., Daewoo International Corporation, Irico Group Corporation, Irico Group Electronics Co., Ltd., and Irico Display Devices Co., Ltd.

Case3:07-cv-05944-SC Document1179 Filed05/03/12 Page11 of 17 Case3:07-cv-05944-SC Document1152-1 Filed04/13/12 Page11 of 17

#### 3. What is this lawsuit about?

The lawsuit alleges that Defendants and co-conspirators conspired to raise and fix the prices of CRTs and the CRTs contained in certain finished products for over ten years, resulting in overcharges to direct purchasers of those CRTs and certain finished products containing CRTs. The complaint describes how the Defendants and co-conspirators allegedly violated the U.S. antitrust laws by establishing a global cartel that set artificially high prices for, and restricte

d the supply of CRTs and the televisions and monitors that contained them. Defendants deny Plaintiffs allegations. The Court has not decided who is right.

#### 4. Why are there Settlements but the litigation is continuing?

Only two of the Defendants have agreed to settle the lawsuit – CPT and Philips. The case is continuing against the remaining Non-Settling Defendants. Additional money may become available in the future as a result of a trial or future settlements, but there is no guarantee that this will happen.

#### 5. What is a Cathode Ray Tube Product?

For the purposes of the Settlements, Cathode Ray Tube Products means Cathode Ray Tubes of any type (e.g. color display tubes, color picture tubes and monochrome display tubes) and finished products which contain Cathode Ray Tubes, such as Televisions and Computer Monitors.

#### 6. What is a class action?

In a class action, one or more people, called class representatives, sue on behalf of people who have similar claims. All these people are members of the class, except for those who exclude themselves from the class.

If the Plaintiffs obtain money or benefits as a result of a trial or future settlement, you will be notified about those settlements, if any, at that time. Important information about the case will be posted on the website, www.CRTDirectPurchaserAntitrustSettlement.com as it becomes available. Please check the website to be kept informed about any future developments.

#### THE SETTLEMENT CLASS

#### 7. How do I know if I'm part of the Settlement Class?

All persons and entities who, between March 1, 1995 and November 25, 2007, directly purchased a CRT Product in the United States from any defendant or subsidiary or affiliate thereof, or any co-conspirator. ("Settlement Class").

#### 8. What do the Settlements provide?

The settlement with CPT provides for payment of \$10,000,000 in cash, plus interest. The settlement also provides for extensive cooperation with Plaintiffs regarding the antitrust conspiracy alleged in the complaint. In addition, CPT's sales remain in the case for the purpose of computing damages against the remaining non-settling Defendants. Finally, the settlement provides that \$500,000 of the \$10 million settlement fund, subject to Court approval, may be used to pay expenses incurred in the litigation for prosecution of the action on behalf of the Settlement Class against non-settling defendants.

The Settlement with Philips provides for payment of \$27,000,000 in cash; however, the \$27 million settlement amount is subject to reduction based on the number of exclusions from the Settlement Class after notice. The detailed reduction formula is set forth in the Philips settlement available on the Settlement Class website <a href="https://www.CRTDirectPurchaserAntitrustSettlement.com">www.CRTDirectPurchaserAntitrustSettlement.com</a>. The settlement also provides for extensive cooperation with Plaintiffs regarding the antitrust conspiracy alleged in the complaint. In addition, Philips' sales remain in the case for the purpose of computing damages against the remaining non-settling Defendants. Finally, the settlement provides that \$500,000 of the settlement fund, subject to Court approval, may be used to pay expenses incurred in the litigation for prosecution of the action on behalf of the purported class against non-settling defendants.

More details are in both Settlement Agreements, available at www.CRTDirectPurchaserAntitrustSettlement.com.

#### 9. When can I get a payment?

No money will be distributed to any Settlement Class Member yet. The lawyers will pursue the lawsuit against the Non-Settling Defendants to see if any future settlements or judgments can be obtained in the case and then be distributed together, to reduce expenses.

Any future distribution of the Settlement Funds will be done on a *pro rata* basis. You will be notified in the future when and where to send a claim form. DO NOT SEND ANY CLAIMS NOW.

In the future, each Settlement Class member's pro rata share of the Settlement Fund will be determined by computing each valid claimant's total CRT Product purchases divided by the total valid CRT Product purchases claimed. This percentage is multiplied to the Net Settlement Fund (total settlements minus all costs, attorneys' fees, and expenses) to determine each claimants pro rata share of the Settlement Fund. To determine your CRT Product purchases, CRT tubes (color display and color picture) are calculated at full value (100%) while CRT televisions are valued at 50% and CRT computer monitors are valued at 75%.

In summary, all valid claimants will share in the settlement funds on a pro rata basis determined by the CRT value of the product you purchased -tubes 100%, monitors 75% and televisions 50%.

#### 10. What are my rights in the Settlement Class?

Remain in the Settlement Class: If you wish to remain a member of the Settlement Class you do not need to take any action at this time.

Get out of the Settlement Class: If you wish to keep any of your rights to sue the Settling Defendants about the claims in this, case you must exclude yourself from the Settlement Class. You will not get any money from either of the settlements if you exclude yourself from the Settlement Class.

To exclude yourself from the Settlement Class, you must send a letter that includes the following:

- · Your name, address and telephone number,
- A statement saying that you want to be excluded from *In re Cathode Ray Tube (CRT) Antitrust Litigation*, MDL No. 1917, CPT Settlement, and/or Philips Settlement; and
- Your signature.

You must mail your exclusion	request, postmarked no later than	, 2012, to

CRT Claims Administrator P.O. 0000 City, ST 00000

Remain in the Settlement Class and Object: If you have comments about, or disagree with, any aspect of the Settlements, you may express your views to the Court by writing to the address below. The written response needs to include your name, address, telephone number, the case name and number (In re Cathode Ray Tube (CRT) Antitrust Litigation, MDL No. 1917), a brief explanation of your reasons for objection, and your signature. The response must be postmarked no later than \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, 2012 and mailed to:

COURT	INTERIM LEAD	COUNSEL FOR	COUNSEL FOR
	COUNSEL	CPT	PHILIPS
Honorable Charles A. Legge (Ret.) JAMS Two Embarcadero, Suite 1500 San Francisco, CA 94111	Guido Saveri R. Alexander Saveri SAVERI & SAVERI, INC. 706 Sansome Street San Francisco, CA 94111	Joel S. Sanders Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP 555 Mission Street, Suite 3000 San Francisco, CA 94105	John M. Taladay Baker Botts LLP 1299 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W., Suite 1000 Washington, D.C. 20004

#### 11. What am I giving up to stay in the Settlement Class?

Unless you exclude yourself from the Settlement Class, you can't sue the Settling Defendants, or be part of any other lawsuit against Settling Defendants about the legal issues in this case. It also means that all of the decisions by the Court will bind you. The "Release of Claims" includes any causes of actions asserted or that could have been asserted in the lawsuit, as described more fully in the Settlement Agreements. The Settlement Agreements are available at www.CRTDirectPurchaserAntitrustSettlement.com.

#### THE SETTLEMENT APPROVAL HEARING

# The Court will hold a Fairness Hearing at \_\_\_\_\_\_ on \_\_\_\_\_ 2012, at JAMS, Two Embarcadero, Suite 1500, San Francisco, CA 94111. The hearing may be moved to a different date or time without additional notice, so it is a good idea to check the Settlement Class website for information. At this hearing, the Court will consider whether the Settlements are fair, reasonable and adequate. If there are objections or comments, the Court will consider them at that time. After the hearing, the Court will decide whether to approve the Settlements. We do not know how long these decisions will take.

#### 13. Do I have to come to the hearing?

No. Interim Lead Counsel will answer any questions the Court may have. But, you are welcome to come at your own expense. If you send an objection or comment, you don't have to come to Court to talk about it. As long as you mailed your written objection on time, the Court will consider it. You may also pay another lawyer to attend, but it's not required.

#### 14. May I speak at the hearing?

If you want your own lawyer instead of Interim Lead Counsel to speak at the Final Approval Hearing, you must give the Court a paper that is called a "Notice of Appearance." The Notice of Appearance should include the name and number of the lawsuit (In re Cathode Ray Tube (CRT) Antitrust Litigation, MDL No. 1917), and state that you wish to enter an appearance at the Fairness Hearing. It also must include your name, address, telephone number, and signature. Your "Notice of Appearance" must be postmarked no later than \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, 2012. You cannot speak at the Hearing if you previously asked to be excluded from the Settlement.

The Notice of Appearance must be sent to the addresses listed in Question 10.

#### THE LAWYERS REPRESENTING YOU

#### 15. Do I have a lawyer in the case?

Yes. The Court has appointed the law firm of Saveri & Saveri, Inc. to represent you as "Interim Lead Counsel." You do not have to pay Interim Lead Counsel. If you want to be represented by your own lawyers, and have that lawyer appear in court for you in this case, you may hire one at your own expense.

#### 16. How will the lawyers be paid?

Class Counsel are not asking for attorneys' fees at this time. At a future time, Interim Lead Counsel will ask the Court for attorneys' fees not to exceed one-third (33.3%) of this or any future Settlement Fund plus reimbursement of their costs and expenses, in accordance with the provisions of the Settlement Agreements. Interim Lead Counsel may also request that an amount be paid to each of the Class Representatives who helped the lawyers on behalf of the whole Class.

#### **GETTING MORE INFORMATION**

#### 17. How do I get more information?

This Notice summarizes the lawsuit and the Settlement. You can get more information about the lawsuit and Settlements at <u>www.CRTDirectPurchaserAntitrustSettlement.com</u>.by calling 1-800-000-0000, or writing to CRT Claims Administrator, P.O. 0000, City, ST 00000. Please do not contact JAMS or the Court about this case.

Dated:	, 2012	BY ORDER OF THE COURT
Dawa.	. 2012	DI ORDER OI THE COURT

# **EXHIBIT B**

## If You Bought A Cathode Ray Tube ("CRT") or CRT Product, A Class Action Settlement May Affect You.

#### CRT Products include Televisions or Computer Monitors that contain Cathode Ray Tubes

Settlements have been reached with two defendants in a class action lawsuit involving CRTs and CRT Products. CRT stands for "Cathode Ray Tube." "Cathode Ray Tube (CRT) Products" include Cathode Ray Tubes and finished products that contain a Cathode Ray Tube such as Televisions and Computer Monitors.

#### What is this lawsuit about?

The lawsuit alleges that Defendants and Co-Conspirators engaged in an unlawful conspiracy to fix, raise, maintain or stabilize the prices of CRTs. Plaintiffs further claim that direct purchasers from the Defendants of televisions or monitors that contain a cathode ray tube manufactured by a Defendant may recover for the effect that the alleged CRT conspiracy had on the prices of televisions or monitors. Plaintiffs allege that, as result of the unlawful conspiracy involving CRTs, they and other direct purchasers paid more for CRT Products than they would have absent the conspiracy. Defendants deny Plaintiffs' claims.

#### Who's included in the settlements?

The Settlements include all persons and entities who, between March 1, 1995 and November 25, 2007, directly purchased a CRT Product in the United States from any defendant or subsidiary or affiliate thereof. ("Settlement Class").

#### Who are the Settling Defendants?

Settlements have been reached with Defendants Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd. and Chunghwa Picture Tubes (Malaysia) Sdn. Bhd. (collectively "CPT") and Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V., Philips Electronics North America Corporation, Philips Electronics Industries (Taiwan), Ltd., and Philips Da Amazonia Industria Electronica Ltda. (collectively, "Philips") The companies are together referred to as the "Settling Defendants." A complete list of Defendants is set out in the Long Form of Notice available at

#### www.CRTDirectPurchaserAntitrustSettlement.com.

#### What do the Settlements provide?

The CPT Settlement provides for the payment of \$10,000,000 in cash, plus interest, to the Settlement Class. The Philips Settlement provides for the payment of \$27,000,000 in cash, subject to a reduction based on the number of exclusions from the Settlement Class after notice. Each settlement provides that \$500,000 of the settlement fund, subject

to Court approval, may be used to pay expenses incurred in the litigation for prosecution of the action on behalf of the Class against the non-settling defendants. Both Settling Defendants have agreed to provide Plaintiffs with significant and valuable cooperation in the prosecution of the case against the remaining non-settling Defendants. Money will not be distributed to Settlement Class members at this time. The lawyers will pursue the lawsuit against the other Defendants to see if any future settlements or judgments can be obtained in the case and then be distributed together, to reduce expenses.

#### What are my rights?

If you wish to remain a member of the Settlement Class you do not need to take any action at this time. If you do not want to be legally bound by the Settlements, you must exclude yourself in writing by \_\_\_\_\_\_, 2012, or you will not be able to sue, or continue to sue, the Settling Defendants about the legal claims in this case.

The Court has appointed the law firm of Saveri & Saveri, Inc. to represent Direct Purchaser Class members as Interim Lead Class Counsel. The Court will hold a hearing on \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, 2012 to consider whether the Settlements are fair, reasonable and adequate. If there are objections or comments, the Court will consider them at that time. You may appear at the hearing, but don't have to. We do not know how long these decisions will take. Please do not contact JAMS or the Court about this case.

This is a Summary Notice. For more details, call toll free 1-800-000-0000, visit www.CRTDirectPurchaserAntitrustSettlement.com., or write to CRT Direct Settlement, P.O. Box XXX, XXXXX.